

Guidelines for Reviewers

A reviewer is expected to follow the following guidelines for the review of an article to be published in the **Spectrum: Science and Technology**.

- Reviewers are expected to review the manuscript with promptness, preferably within a month of the receipt of the article. In the event of the inability to do so, it should be intimated to the Chief Editor immediately. Unnecessary delays in reviews should be avoided.
- In the event of a potential reviewer deems unqualified to review the manuscript, he/she should bring it to the notice of the Chief Editor immediately and also decline to review the manuscript.
- Reviewers are also not supposed to divulge the details of the manuscript to any third party without the permission of the Chief Editor.
- Reviewers are expected -
 - to highlight the relevant publications not cited in the manuscript and also point out any similarities with previously published works.
 - to highlight any discrepancies with regard to the citations in the text of the article is not matched by the information furnished in the references and vice-versa.
 - to point out to plagiarism or copyright violation or fraudulent publication, if any, if it comes to the notice of the reviewers.
 - to opine on the suitability of the methodology of the study for the article and discrepancies in the methodology, if any.
 - to point out to the authors the areas of the article, particularly in the analyses and discussion segment, which need revision and also additional information required, if any.